ۻ

Todd M. Friedman (216752) Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr. #415 **Beverly Hills, CA 90211** Phone: 877 206-4741 Fax: 866 633-0228 tfriedman@attorneysforconsumers.com **Attorney for Plaintiff**

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ERIC WILLIAMS,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. SACV 10-00255 JVS (ANx) Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FEDERAL FAIR DEBT VS. COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT.) ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT **CAVALRY PORTFOLIO**) COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SERVICES, LLC and WINN LAW) AND INVASION OF PRIVACY GROUP, APC., Defendants. I. INTRODUCTION This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code § 1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA"), both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices. Ancillary to the claims above, Plaintiff further alleges claims for

invasion of privacy arising from intrusion upon seclusion and public disclosure of private facts.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Eric Williams ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Orange county in the state of California, and is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code 1788.2(h).
- 4. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, CAVALRY PORTFOLIO SERVICES, LLC ("Defendant CPS") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "debt," as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5), and a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(f). Defendant CPS regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(c).
- 5. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, WINN LAW GROUP, APC, ("Defendant WLG") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a

"consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(f). Defendant WLG regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due them, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code § 1788.2(c).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant CPS hired Defendant WLG to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt. Defendant CPS's and Defendant WLG's conduct violated the FDCPA and the RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:
 - a) Threatening to take an action against Plaintiff that cannot be legally taken or that was not actually intended to be taken, including, but not limited to, seeking a judgment against Plaintiff through a lawsuit which she had never been served with (§1692e(5)).
 - b) Collecting a consumer debt from Plaintiff by means of judicial proceedings, where the debt collector knew that service of process, which was essential to jurisdiction over Plaintiff or his property, had not been legally effected (Cal Civ Code § 1788.14(a));
 - c) Using unfair or unconscionable means against Plaintiff in connection with an attempt to collect a debt (§ 1692f)) including, but not limited to, seeking a judgment against Plaintiff through a lawsuit which she had never been served with(§1692f).
 - d) Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of Plaintiffs debt (§1692e(2)(A)), including, but not limited

to, attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff that was not his, despite his proof that his identity had been stolen;

- e) Communicating or threatening to communicate credit information which is known or which should be known to be false (§1692e(8)) including, but not limited to, attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff that was not his, despite his proof that his identity had been stolen;
- f) Collecting an amount from Plaintiff that is not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt (§1692f(1)) including, but not limited to, attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff that was not his, despite his proof that his identity had been stolen; and
- g) Collecting an amount from Plaintiff that is not permitted by law (§1692f(1)) including, but not limited to, attempting to collect a debt from Plaintiff that was not his, despite his proof that his identity had been stolen.
- 6. Defendant CPS's and Defendant WLG's aforementioned violations of the FDCPA and RFDCPA also constitute an intentional intrusion into Plaintiff's private places and into private matters of Plaintiff's life, conducted in a manner highly offensive to a reasonable person. Plaintiff had a subjective expectation of privacy that was objectively reasonable under the circumstances.
- 7. Defendant CPS's and Defendant WLG's aforementioned disclosure of facts regarding Plaintiff's debt to third parties constitutes a public disclosure of a private fact not of legitimate public concern. Defendant CPS's and Defendant WLG's disclosures were highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 8. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, RFDCPA and invasion of privacy, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's

feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant CPS and Defendant WLG are liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AS TO BOTH DEFENDANTS

9. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant CPS and Defendant WLG for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant CPS and Defendant WLG conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AS TO BOTH DEFENDANTS

- 10. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 11. To the extent that Defendant CPS's and Defendant WLG's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully

2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

28

27

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant CPS and Defendant WLG for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant CPS's and Defendant WLG's conduct violated the RFDCPA;
- В. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations:
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees,
- For such other and further relief as may be just and proper. E.

COUNT III: COMMON LAW INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION AND INVASION OF PRIVACY BY PUBLICATION OF PRIVATE FACTS **AS TO BOTH DEFENDANTS**

12. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against Defendant WLG and Defendant CPS for the following:

- A. Actual damages
- В. Punitive Damages; and,
- C. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted this 26th day of February, 2010.

By:

TODD M. FRIEDMAN (216752) **Attorney for Plaintiff**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge James V. Selna and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Arthur Nakazato.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV10 - 255 JVS (ANx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

	==
All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge	
All discovery related motions should be noticed on the colondar of the Magistusta Indian	

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Ш	Western Division
	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
	Los Angeles, CA 90012

[X] Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 8:10-cv-00255-JVS-AN Document 1	Filed 03/03/10 Page 8 of 10 Page ID #:8
Todd M. Friedman, Esq. Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr., #415 Beverly Hills, CA 90211	
UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
ERIC WILLIAMS,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV 10-00255 JVS(AV
CAVALRY PORTFOLIO SERVICES, LLC and WINN LAW GROUP, APC., DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS
TO: DEFENDANT(S): Cavalry Portfolio Services, L. Winn Law Group, APC, 110 E Wilshire Ave. Su A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within21 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of counterclaim or cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Too Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, 369 S. Doheny Dr., findgment by default will be entered against you for the response your answer or motion with the court.	s on you (not counting the day you received it), you omplaint amended complaint amended complaint to f the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer Id M. Friedman, whose address is \$\frac{415}{415}, \text{Beverly Hills, CA 90211} If you fail to do so
Dated: 3 3 10	Clerk, U.S. District Court By: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States o 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed
CV-01A (12/07) SUMMO	NC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIEEC (C	hools beaute	·							
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Ci Eric Williams	DEFENDANTS CAVALRY POR	TFOLIO S	ERVICES, LLC	and WINN LAW	GROUP, .	APC.,			
 (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) Todd M. Friedman, Esq. Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C. 369 S. Doheny Dr., #415, Beverly Hills, CA 90211 				Attorneys (If Known)					
	_	_							
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S.		III. CITIZEN (Place an	NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL X in one box for plainting	it and one i	or defendant.)	Cases Only			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defe	Government Not a Party) ment Defendant		Citizen of This Citizen of Anot		_	1 Incorporate of Business	ed or Principal Plac s in this State		DEI
	of Parties in Item II.	1)				1 2 Incorporate of Business	d and Principal Pla in Another State	ice 🗆 5	□ 5
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X ✓ 1 Original □ 2 Re	in one box only.)		Ciuzen or Subje	ect of a Foreign Country	□3 □			□6	□ 6
V REQUESTED			_			I	District Ju	ppeal to I	
V. REQUESTED IN COM- CLASS ACTION under F., /I. CAUSE OF ACTION (15 USC 1692 Violation	R.C.P. 23: Tyes Who	Yes N	No (Check 'Yes' Me filing and write	only if demanded in cor ONEY DEMANDED	mplaint.) IN COMP			agistrate .	ludge
CLASS ACTION under F. 71. CAUSE OF ACTION (15 USC 1692 Violation 71. NATURE OF SUIT (P OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionmen 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced	R.C.P. 23: Yes No (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under valof the Fair Debt Collection Practice an X in one box only.) CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment &	PERSC 310 A Li 320 As	TORTS ONAL INJURY cirplane riplane Product iability sasult, Libel & ander	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lend	ise. Do not	PRISONER PETITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus	LA) 710 Fair La Act Part Care Care Care Care Relation	versity.) BOR bor Stand	regulation of the control of the con
CLASS ACTION under F. 71. CAUSE OF ACTION (15 USC 1692 Violation 711. NATURE OF SUIT (P OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionmen 410 Antitrust 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	R.C.P. 23: Yes No (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under valof the Fair Debt Collection Practice an X in one box only.) CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	PERSC 310 A 315 A 1320 A 1340 Ma 1345 Ma 1350 Mc 1350 Mc 1360 Oth 1360 Oth 1360 Pers	TORTS ONAL INJURY irplane irplane Product iability ssault, Libel & ander ed. Employers' ability arine arine Product ability otor Vehicle otor Vehi	TORTS PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lend	Sing Sing	PRISONER PETITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentenc Habeas Corpus General	LA) 710 Fair La Act Property Act Relation 730 Labor/ Reporti Disclos	versity.) BOR bor Stand Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ng & Labor A abor on et. Inc. Act RIGHTS nts	ards

SACV 10-00255 JVS (ANx)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: _____

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

CV-71 (05/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES If yes, list case number(s):	S: Has this action b	een previously filed in this cour	rt and dismissed, remanded or closed? ♥No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	Have any cases be	en previously filed in this court	that are related to the present case? Ver No Yes				
	if a previously file A. Arise from the B. Call for determ C. For other reason	ed case and the present case: same or closely related transaction of the same or substant	ctions, happenings, or events; or tially related or similar questions of law and fact; or plication of labor if heard by different judges; or ght, <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing	the following info	rmation, use an additional shee	et if necessary.)				
(a) List the County in this Distr	ict: California Com	ment and the Cold man	e if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. If this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Orange			Orange county for Winn Law Group, APC				
(b) List the County in this Distri	ct; California Coun	ty outside of this District: State	e if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c)				
Check here if the governmen	t, its agencies or en	ployees is a named defendant.	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
			New York				
(c) List the County in this District	t; California Count	y outside of this District; State	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*	cases, use the loca	ation of the tract of land invol-	Total Control of the				
Orange			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles, Orange, San Bern: Note: In land condemnation cases, t	ardino, Riverside,	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or S	San Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY			Date February 26, 2010				
	ourt for the purpos	e of statistics, venue and initiati	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
y more an edges relating to 3	ocial Security Case	s:	, and a silect				
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969.					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows of Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C.	or widowers insurance benefits based and discussion				
864	SSID		security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08)